

**Volume-preserving diffeomorphisms in
integrable deformations of selfdual gravity**

KANEHISA TAKASAKI*

Institute of Mathematics, Yoshida College, Kyoto University

Yoshida-Nihonmatsu-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606, Japan

ABSTRACT

A group of volume-preserving diffeomorphisms in 3D turns out to play a key role in an Einstein-Maxwell theory whose Weyl tensor is selfdual and whose Maxwell tensor has algebraically general anti-selfdual part. This model was first introduced by Flaherty and recently studied by Park as an integrable deformation of selfdual gravity. A twisted volume form on the corresponding twistor space is shown to be the origin of volume-preserving diffeomorphisms. An immediate consequence is the existence of an infinite number of symmetries as a generalization of $w_{1+\infty}$ symmetries in selfdual gravity. A possible relation to Witten's 2D string theory is pointed out.

*e-mail: TAKASAKI@JPNRIFP.Bitnet

Selfdual gravity (= Ricci-flat Kähler geometry) has been a laboratory of a number of recent attempts at higher dimensional integrable field theories such as: extensions of conformal or integrable field theories in 2D [1][2][3][4], $N = 2$ superstring theory [5], topological gravity [6][7] etc. Classical integrability of selfdual gravity is due to Penrose’s twistor method (nonlinear graviton construction)[8]. A consequence of this method is the existence of an infinite number of symmetries [9][10] whose underlying structure is a loop group : $S^1 \rightarrow \text{SDiff}(2)$ of area-preserving diffeomorphisms (on a plane). This should be considered a higher dimensional extension of $w_{1+\infty}$ symmetries [11].

Selfdual gravity in the above narrow sense is by no means the only possible integrable field theory of 4D gravity. Any conformally selfdual space (Riemannian manifold with selfdual Weyl tensor) can be treated by means of the nonlinear graviton construction, therefore may be thought of as an “integrable” field theory. Physical contents of conformally selfdual spaces in general, however, are not very clear [12].

Flaherty [13] pointed out that scalar-flat Kähler (or “quasi-Kähler” in the terminology of Flaherty) geometry gives an interesting subfamily of conformally selfdual spaces. According to Flaherty’s observations, these spaces are solutions of an Einstein-Maxwell theory whose Weyl tensor is selfdual and whose Maxwell tensor has algebraically general anti-selfdual part. Calling this system an “integrable deformation” of selfdual gravity, Park [14] presented a linear system that reproduces the equation of motion (scalar-flatness) as the Frobenius integrability condition.

We now show, in this letter, that Park’s linear system has a hidden $\text{SDiff}(3)$ (= group of volume-preserving diffeomorphisms in 3D) structure, and that this structure stems from a twisted volume form on a corresponding 3D twistor space. The existence of $\text{SDiff}(3)$ symmetries is an immediate consequence of these facts. The $\text{SDiff}(2)$ loop group for selfdual gravity is a subgroup of this $\text{SDiff}(3)$ group, just as selfdual gravity is a special case of Flaherty’s Einstein-Maxwell theory with vanishing Maxwell fields.

Flaherty spaces, by definition, have a quasi-Kähler metric

$$ds^2 = \Omega_{,p^a \hat{p}^b} dp^a d\hat{p}^b, \quad p^a = (p, q), \quad \hat{p}^a = (\hat{p}, \hat{q}) \quad (1)$$

with a Kähler potential Ω obeying the nonlinear equation

$$\Omega_{,p\hat{p}}(\log D)_{,q\hat{q}} - \Omega_{,p\hat{q}}(\log D)_{,q\hat{p}} - \Omega_{,q\hat{p}}(\log D)_{,p\hat{q}} + \Omega_{,q\hat{q}}(\log D)_{,p\hat{p}} = 0, \quad (2)$$

where

$$D \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Omega_{,p\hat{p}}\Omega_{,q\hat{q}} - \Omega_{,p\hat{q}}\Omega_{,q\hat{p}} \neq 0. \quad (3)$$

If $D = 1$, Eq. (2) becomes an identity whereas Eq. (3) gives Plebanski's heavenly equation for selfdual gravity [15]. It is convenient to consider the following Poisson brackets.

$$\{F, G\}_{pq} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F_{,p}G_{,q} - G_{,p}F_{,q}, \quad \{F, G\}_{\hat{p}\hat{q}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F_{,\hat{p}}G_{,\hat{q}} - G_{,\hat{p}}F_{,\hat{q}}. \quad (4)$$

Eq. (2) then can be written

$$\{\Omega_{,p}, (\log D)_{,q}\}_{\hat{p}\hat{q}} - \{\Omega_{,q}, (\log D)_{,p}\}_{\hat{p}\hat{q}} = 0 \quad (5)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\{\Omega_{,\hat{p}}, (\log D)_{,\hat{q}}\}_{pq} - \{\Omega_{,\hat{q}}, (\log D)_{,\hat{p}}\}_{pq} = 0. \quad (6)$$

Similarly, Eq. (3) have two equivalent expressions:

$$D = \{\Omega_{,p}, \Omega_{,q}\}_{\hat{p}\hat{q}} = \{\Omega_{,\hat{p}}, \Omega_{,\hat{q}}\}_{pq}. \quad (7)$$

Eqs. (5) and (6) can be used to introduce two potentials ϕ and $\hat{\phi}$:

$$\hat{\phi}_{,p} = \{\Omega_{,p}, \log D\}_{\hat{p}\hat{q}}, \quad \hat{\phi}_{,q} = \{\Omega_{,q}, \log D\}_{\hat{p}\hat{q}}, \quad (8)$$

$$\phi_{,\hat{p}} = \{\Omega_{,\hat{p}}, \log D\}_{pq}, \quad \phi_{,\hat{q}} = \{\Omega_{,\hat{q}}, \log D\}_{pq}. \quad (9)$$

The four functions $(\Omega, D, \phi, \hat{\phi})$ are the fundamental ingredients of this model.

Park's linear system, in our notation, can be written

$$L_p \psi = 0, \quad L_q \psi = 0, \quad (10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_p &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \partial_p + \hat{\lambda} \{ \Omega_{,p}, \cdot \}_{\hat{p}\hat{q}} - \hat{\phi}_{,p} \hat{\lambda}^2 \partial_{\hat{\lambda}}, \\ L_q &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \partial_q + \hat{\lambda} \{ \Omega_{,q}, \cdot \}_{\hat{p}\hat{q}} - \hat{\phi}_{,q} \hat{\lambda}^2 \partial_{\hat{\lambda}}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

and $\hat{\lambda}$ is a new variable (“spectral parameter” in selfdual gravity). The equations of motion for Ω and D imply the integrability condition $[L_p, L_q] = 0$ of this linear system (and vice versa). In fact, since the roles of (ϕ, p, q) and $(\hat{\phi}, \hat{p}, \hat{q})$ are interchangeable, one can introduce another (dual) linear system:

$$\hat{L}_{\hat{p}} \psi = 0, \quad \hat{L}_{\hat{q}} \psi = 0, \quad (12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{L}_{\hat{p}} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \partial_{\hat{p}} - \lambda^{-1} \{ \Omega_{,\hat{p}}, \cdot \}_{pq} - \phi_{,\hat{p}} \partial_{\lambda}, \\ \hat{L}_{\hat{q}} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \partial_{\hat{q}} - \lambda^{-1} \{ \Omega_{,\hat{q}}, \cdot \}_{pq} - \phi_{,\hat{q}} \partial_{\lambda}, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

and

$$\lambda \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} D\hat{\lambda}. \quad (14)$$

This linear system, too, is integrable: $[\hat{L}_{\hat{p}}, \hat{L}_{\hat{q}}] = 0$.

The Frobenius integrability in this case means that each of the above linear systems has exactly three functionally independent solutions, say (ψ_0, ψ_1, ψ_2) with $d\psi_0 \wedge d\psi_1 \wedge d\psi_2 \neq 0$. Let us call such a triple a set of fundamental solutions. It should be noted that any 3D diffeomorphism $f = (f_0, f_1, f_2)$ can act on fundamental solutions to give a new set of fundamental solutions:

$$(\psi_0, \psi_1, \psi_2) \rightarrow (f_0(\psi_0, \psi_1, \psi_2), f_1(\psi_0, \psi_1, \psi_2), f_2(\psi_0, \psi_1, \psi_2)). \quad (15)$$

A clue of the twistor method is to consider two sets of special fundamental solutions, $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ and $(\hat{\mathcal{L}}, \hat{\mathcal{U}}, \hat{\mathcal{V}})$, with different analyticity. Namely, they are required to be holomorphic functions of λ (or of $\hat{\lambda}$) in a neighborhood of a circle, say $|\lambda| = r$, and to have analytic continuation outside (for $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}$) or inside (for $\hat{\mathcal{L}}, \hat{\mathcal{U}}, \hat{\mathcal{V}}$) that circle with Laurent expansion of the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} &= \lambda + \phi + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} \ell_n \lambda^n, & \hat{\mathcal{L}} &= \hat{\lambda} + \hat{\phi} \hat{\lambda}^2 + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \hat{\ell}_n \hat{\lambda}^n, \\ \mathcal{U} &= p\mathcal{L} + \sum_{n=-\infty}^0 u_n \mathcal{L}^n, & \hat{\mathcal{U}} &= \hat{p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{u}_n \hat{\mathcal{L}}^n, \\ \mathcal{V} &= q\mathcal{L} + \sum_{n=-\infty}^0 v_n \mathcal{L}^n, & \hat{\mathcal{V}} &= \hat{q} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{v}_n \hat{\mathcal{L}}^n.\end{aligned}\tag{16}$$

According to the aforementioned general property of fundamental solutions, these two sets of solutions should be linked by an invertible functional relation:

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}} = f_0(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}), \quad \hat{\mathcal{U}} = f_1(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}), \quad \hat{\mathcal{V}} = f_2(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}).\tag{17}$$

This is a kind of Riemann-Hilbert problem related to a group of 3D diffeomorphisms; the functional data $f = (f_0, f_1, f_2)$ is indeed such a diffeomorphism. Penrose's twistor method is a geometric interpretation of this Riemann-Hilbert problem in the language of a curved twistor space $\mathcal{T}$.

Actually, our linear systems have a special structure that allows us to put a set of constraints on $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ and $(\hat{\mathcal{L}}, \hat{\mathcal{U}}, \hat{\mathcal{V}})$. To see this, note that the $(\partial_\lambda, \partial_p, \partial_q)$ part of $\hat{L}_{\hat{p}}$ and $\hat{L}_{\hat{q}}$ in (12) are divergence-free vector fields on the (λ, p, q) space, i.e., of the form

$$V = v_0 \partial_\lambda + v_1 \partial_p + v_2 \partial_q, \quad v_{0,\lambda} + v_{1,p} + v_{2,q} = 0.\tag{18}$$

Similarly, the $(\partial_{\hat{\lambda}}, \partial_{\hat{p}}, \partial_{\hat{q}})$ part of L_p and L_q in (10) are vector fields of the form

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V} &= -\hat{v}_0 \hat{\lambda}^2 \partial_{\hat{\lambda}} + \hat{v}_1 \partial_{\hat{p}} + \hat{v}_2 \partial_{\hat{q}} = \hat{v}_0 \partial_{\hat{\lambda}^{-1}} + \hat{v}_1 \partial_{\hat{p}} + \hat{v}_2 \partial_{\hat{q}}, \\ &-\hat{\lambda}^2 \hat{v}_{0,\hat{\lambda}} + \hat{v}_{1,\hat{p}} + \hat{v}_{2,\hat{q}} = 0,\end{aligned}\tag{19}$$

thereby divergence-free in $(\hat{\lambda}^{-1}, \hat{p}, \hat{q})$. Both the linear systems, thus, may be thought of as defining a commuting pair of 3D volume-preserving diffeomorphisms [in the (λ, p, q) space for Eq. (12) and in the $(\hat{\lambda}^{-1}, \hat{p}, \hat{q})$ space for Eq. (10), respectively]. Because of this, the fundamental solutions $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ and $(\hat{\mathcal{L}}, \hat{\mathcal{U}}, \hat{\mathcal{V}})$ may be selected to satisfy the following SDiff(3) constraints:

$$\frac{\partial(\mathcal{L}^{-1}, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})}{\partial(\hat{\lambda}^{-1}, \hat{p}, \hat{q})} = \frac{\partial(\hat{\mathcal{L}}^{-1}, \hat{\mathcal{U}}, \hat{\mathcal{V}})}{\partial(\hat{\lambda}^{-1}, \hat{p}, \hat{q})} = 1, \quad (20)$$

$$\frac{\partial(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}^{-1}\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{L}^{-1}\mathcal{V})}{\partial(\lambda, p, q)} = \frac{\partial(\hat{\mathcal{L}}, \hat{\mathcal{L}}^{-1}\hat{\mathcal{U}}, \hat{\mathcal{L}}^{-1}\hat{\mathcal{V}})}{\partial(\lambda, p, q)} = 1. \quad (21)$$

These constraints, along with the linear systems themselves, can be cast into a more compact form. One can indeed prove that they altogether are equivalent to the exterior differential equation

$$d\mathcal{L}^{-1} \wedge d\mathcal{U} \wedge d\mathcal{V} = \theta = d\hat{\mathcal{L}}^{-1} \wedge d\hat{\mathcal{U}} \wedge d\hat{\mathcal{V}}, \quad (22)$$

where θ is the 3-form given by

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= -d\lambda \wedge (dp \wedge dq + \lambda^{-1}\omega + \lambda^{-2}Dd\hat{p} \wedge d\hat{q}) - d\phi \wedge dp \wedge dq - \lambda^{-1}dD \wedge d\hat{p} \wedge d\hat{q} \\ &= -\hat{\lambda}^{-2}d\hat{\lambda} \wedge (d\hat{p} \wedge d\hat{q} + \hat{\lambda}\omega + \hat{\lambda}^2Dd\hat{p} \wedge d\hat{q}) - d\hat{\phi} \wedge d\hat{p} \wedge d\hat{q} - \hat{\lambda}d\hat{D} \wedge d\hat{p} \wedge d\hat{q}, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

and ω is the Kähler 2-form,

$$\omega = \Omega_{,p^a \hat{p}^b} dp^a \wedge d\hat{p}^b. \quad (24)$$

Geometrically, Eq. (22) means the existence of a twisted volume form on the corresponding twistor space $\mathcal{T}$. If, in particular, $\mathcal{L} = \hat{\mathcal{L}} = \lambda = \hat{\lambda}$ (hence $D = 1$), Eq. (22) reduces to the 2-form equation of selfdual gravity [9][10].

The data $f = (f_0, f_1, f_2)$ of the Riemann-Hilbert problem is also required to satisfy an SDiff(3) constraint of the form

$$\frac{\partial(f_0^{-1}, f_1, f_2)}{\partial(\mathcal{L}^{-1}, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})} = 1. \quad (25)$$

Conversely, solving the Riemann-Hilbert problem under this condition, we can obtain in principle all Flaherty spaces. If f leaves the first component invariant as $f_0(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{L}$, the above $\text{SDiff}(3)$ condition becomes an $\text{SDiff}(2)$ condition defining a loop group element with values in $\text{SDiff}(2)$, and we have in turn a solution of selfdual gravity.

Now the existence of $\text{SDiff}(3)$ symmetries is obvious; they are generated by the action of the $\text{SDiff}(3)$ group on the Riemann-Hilbert data f from left or right. Via the Riemann-Hilbert factorization, these actions on f give rise to actions on $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ and $(\hat{\mathcal{L}}, \hat{\mathcal{U}}, \hat{\mathcal{V}})$. Although finite symmetries are very complicate in general, infinitesimal symmetries should take a very simple and universal form as we have found for selfdual gravity [1][10]. This issue will be reported elsewhere.

We have thus observed that an $\text{SDiff}(3)$ structure, exhibited most clearly by Eq. (22), is a key to characterize Flaherty spaces among general conformally selfdual spaces. The theory turns out to be integrable in the sense of the nonlinear graviton construction, and includes selfdual gravity as a special case. The existence of $\text{SDiff}(3)$ symmetries can be deduced from these results.

It is amusing to compare our results with a recent observation of Witten [16] on the relevance of an $\text{SDiff}(3)$ group in 2D string theory. A fundamental volume form arising therein is written

$$\frac{da_1 \wedge da_2 \wedge da_3}{a_3} = da_1 \wedge da_2 \wedge d \log a_3. \quad (26)$$

This strongly suggests that Witten's observation will be related to a 3-form equation of the form

$$d \log \mathcal{L} \wedge d\mathcal{U} \wedge d\mathcal{V} = d \log \hat{\mathcal{L}} \wedge d\hat{\mathcal{U}} \wedge d\hat{\mathcal{V}} \quad (27)$$

in our notation. This 3-form equation, too, gives an integrable deformation of self-dual gravity with $\text{SDiff}(3)$ symmetries. Remarkably, a similar equation (of 2-forms) including $\log \mathcal{L}$ and $\log \hat{\mathcal{L}}$ has been discovered in a study of a continuous analogue of the Toda chain field theory [17]; this model is shown to be related to the $\text{SDiff}(2)$ group on a cylinder.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank Q-Han Park and Jean Avan for discussion and useful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] Park, Q-Han, Phys. Lett. B236 (1990), 429-432; Phys. Lett. B238 (1990), 287-290; Phys. Lett. B257 (1991), 105-110.
- [2] Kashaev, R.M., Saveliev, M.V., Savelieva, S.A., and Vershik, A.M., Institute for High Energy Physics, Moscow, preprint 90-I (1990).
- [3] Yamagishi, K., and Chapline, F., Class. Quantum Grav. 8 (1991), 427-446.
- [4] Hull, C.M., Phys. Lett. B269 (1991), 257-263.
- [5] Ooguri, H., and Vafa, C., Mod. Phys. Lett. A5 (1990), 1389-1398; Nucl. Phys. B361 (1991), 469-518.
- [6] Oda, I., and Sugamoto, A., Phys. Lett. B266 (1991), 280-284.
- [7] Kunitomo, H., Mod. Phys. Lett. A6 (1991), 2389-2396.
- [8] Penrose, R., Gen. Rel. Grav. 7 (1976), 31-52.
- [9] Boyer, C.P., and Plebanski, J.F., J. Math. Phys. 26 (1985), 229-234.
- [10] Takasaki, K., J. Math. Phys. 31 (1990), 1877-1888.
- [11] Bakas, I., Phys. Lett. B228 (1989), 57-63; Commun. Math. Phys. 134 (1990), 487-508.
- [13] Flaherty, E.J., Gen. Rel. Grav. 9 (1978), 961-978.
- [12] Boyer, C.P., and Plebanski, J.E., Phys. Lett. A106 (1984), 125-129.
- [14] Park, Q-Han, Phys. Lett. B269 (1991), 271-274.
- [15] Plebanski, J.F., J. Math. Phys. 16 (1975), 2395-2402.
- [16] Witten, E., Institute for Advanced Study preprint IASSNS-HEP-91/51 (August, 1991).
- [17] Takasaki, K., and Takebe, T., Kyoto University preprint RIMS-790 (August, 1991), Lett. Math. Phys. 23 (to appear).